

1 **WO**

2
3
4
5
6 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
7 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**
8

9 Celeste Krom,

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 Exide Technologies Incorporated, et al.,

13 Defendants.
14

No. CV-18-02398-PHX-DWL


ORDER

15 Pending before the Court is the parties' "Stipulated Motion for Leave to Amend
16 Complaint" (Doc. 45). The stipulation will be denied as moot. Plaintiff need not seek
17 leave of the Court before filing an amendment with the opposing party's written consent.
18 LRCiv 15.1(b). Plaintiff is directed to follow the procedure outlined in LRCiv 15.1(b),
19 which requires Plaintiff to file her amended complaint, and then separately file a notice of
20 filing, attaching a redlined copy of the amended complaint and certifying that the opposing
21 party gave written consent for the amendment.

22 Accordingly,

23 **IT IS ORDERED** that the parties' Stipulated Motion for Leave to Amend
24 Complaint (Doc. 45) is denied as moot.

25 Dated this 3rd day of July, 2019.

26
27 
28 **Dominic W. Lanza**
United States District Judge